

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,932	09/17/2001	Hendrikus Jan Kapaan	110191	4418
25944	7590 07/21/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			KRAMER, DEVON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/889,932	KAPAAN ET AL /				
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devon C Kramer	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply tota / n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the c	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTF below):				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	500 TO TE 50.0 W),				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	••	rially reducing or simplifying the				
issues for appeal; and/or	, action to the control of the control	really reducing or enviporating the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ——					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:		, //				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		\\ \				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine \				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Market of the state of the stat				
		1 Pen Se				





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant defines in the remarks that "the screw is rotatably supported relative to the housing". After the interview on June 26, 2003, the examiner discussed the claims with his primary. It was concuded that applicant does not positively recite in the claims that the screw rotates to apply the brakes. "Rotatably supported" is a broad term which can read on a number of different parts of the Kingston referece. Further, applicant throughout the claim uses alternative language, thus further expanding the scope of the claim. Because of the alternative language the claim was read broadly and the Kingston reference was applied.